

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 479 276

SP 041 691

AUTHOR Wang, Joanne Hui-Tzu
TITLE The Effects of a Creative Movement Program on Motor Creativity of Children Ages Three to Five.
PUB DATE 2003-04-00
NOTE 8p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Alliance for Health, Physical Education, Recreation and Dance (Philadelphia, PA, April 1-5, 2003).
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Creative Expression; Early Childhood Education; Foreign Countries; *Motor Development; Preschool Children; Program Effectiveness ; *Psychomotor Skills
IDENTIFIERS Taiwan

ABSTRACT

This study investigated the effects of a creative movement program on the motor creativity of Taiwanese preschool children, hypothesizing that there would be no significant difference in motor creativity between children participating in the creative movement program and those participating in a control group. The intervention group completed a 6-week, 2-day per week, creative movement program which was based on Gilbert's (1992) conceptual approach lesson plan format. The control group participated in an unstructured free setting. Pretest and posttest data were collected using Torrance's Thinking Creatively in Action and Movement scale, which assessed changes in the children's motor creativity. Results indicated that the experimental group had significantly higher levels of motor creativity than did the control group, suggesting that the creative movement program was essential to the development of the total child. (Contains 14 references.) (SM)

THE EFFECTS OF A CREATIVE MOVEMENT PROGRAM ON
MOTOR CREATIVITY OF CHILDREN AGES THREE TO FIVE

by

Joanne Hui-Tzu Wang, Doctor of Education
The University of South Dakota,
95, Pei-tun Road
Taichung City, Taiwan R.O.C.
011-886-4-2238-3412
Email: joannewang1@yahoo.com.tw

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

Joanne Hui-Tzu Wang

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- ☐ This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to
improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this
document do not necessarily represent
official OERI position or policy.

Introduction

Children love to move. Movement is a part of their lives from the very moment they are born. Children obtain joy and happiness through movement. There are many reasons for providing opportunities for children to move. It has been shown that prime learning and growth comes by and through movement (Andress, 1991). Movement is the primary form of expression from a child's earliest days. Even when speech becomes the major vehicle for expression, a child still falls back on gesture and movement for nuance and emphasis. It is through movement that the child very often finds the only means to manifest deeply felt emotions (Liselott, 1991). A preschool child's primary means of communication is through movement. Movement is both functional and meaningful. Children use movement instinctively, expressing their feelings, thoughts and desires through their bodies, in ways that are spontaneous and imaginative (Taylor, 1975). Creative movement promotes growth in many areas of development, including the physical, mental, social, and emotional. It allows children to develop creative thinking, problem-solving skills, and motor skill abilities. The effects of movement naturally overflow into other aspects. Stimulation of these skills and abilities allows children to apply them to the learning of other subjects (Clements, 1995; Gilbert, 1992; Pica, 1990a, 1997, 2000).

Method

The purpose of this study was to identify the effects of a creative movement program on motor creativity of preschool children. In order to accomplish this it was necessary to utilize a program of experiences that seek to find motor creativity differences. It was hypothesized that there is no significant difference in motor creativity between children participating in the Creative Movement Program and those in the control group.

Instrument/Sample/Intrarater Reliability

Torrance's Thinking Creatively in Action and Movement (TCAM) (Torrance, 1981) was used to assess changes in motor creativity. Torrance's test is an appropriate creativity test on motor development for preschool children in ages three through eight. It is designed to be administered individually in approximately 10-15 minutes. The Torrance's Test (TCAM) is comprised of the following four different activities:

1. How Many Ways? – ask the child to move in different ways from one place to another
2. Can You Move Like? – ask the child to imagine, empathize, fantasize, and pretend unfamiliar roles
3. What Other Ways? – ask child to find alternative ways of placing a cup in a wastebasket
4. What Can You Do? – ask the child to give unusual use of cup.

All observations, except for Activity 2, were recorded in the test booklet and were later evaluated.

The target population for this study consisted of the children attending a children's center which serves children ages 36 months through 71 months old, in Taichung, Taiwan during 2002. All the children of the target age were identified and grouped into the following categories: 36-47 months, 48-59 months, and 60-71 months. For TCAM test, both experimental and control groups were administrated pretest and posttest for assessing motor creativity.

Intervention Program

The experimental groups participated in a six-week Creative Movement Program. The length of each lesson was 30 minutes. Lessons took place two days per week according to specific lesson plans. This program is based on Gilbert's (1992) conceptual approach lesson plan format. The control group at this time participated in the unstructured free setting. The children were actively engaged in self-selected physical activities in a defined area on the playground under teacher supervision but not direction.

Data Analysis

Using SPSS for Windows software descriptive and frequency statistics were used to present the demographic data and an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was utilized to test null hypothesis in order to determine whether significant differences exist in motor creativity following the intervention of the Creative Movement Program. Motor creativity was the dependent variable and was measured by the total test scores on the TCAM test. The independent variable was group membership (experimental or control group). The ANCOVA was chosen to adjust for the initial group differences that may have existed. The pretest mean score was used as a covariate and the adjusted posttest mean score was served as a dependent variable.

Result/Conclusion

Results of this study showed that students participating in the Creative Movement Program scored in motor creativity ($p < .05$) significantly higher than those in the control group following the intervention.

Demographic Characteristics

There were a total of 60 subjects in this study. Of the 60 subjects, 30 participated in the Creative Movement Program, while 30 participated in the unstructured free play setting. The subjects' characteristics (i.e., chronological age and mean age) for both the experimental and control groups are illustrated in Table 1.

Table 1

Characteristics of Subjects by Age

Group	Chronological Age (months)	Mean Age (months)
Experimental		
one	39-45	42.7
two	49-59	53.1
three	61-71	64.8
Control		
one	38-47	42.6
two	49-59	53.6
three	61-70	66.5

Table 2 shows the number and percentage of subjects in this study by gender.

Table 2

Characteristics of Subjects by Gender

Group	Male	%	Female	%	Total	%
Experimental						
one	4	40.0	6	60.0	10	100.0
two	7	70.0	3	30.0	10	100.0
three	2	20.0	8	80.0	10	100.0
Control						
one	6	60.0	4	40.0	10	100.0
two	9	90.0	1	10.0	10	100.0
three	7	70.0	3	30.0	10	100.0
Total	35	58.0	25	42.0	60	100.0

Pretest/posttest means and standard deviations for the TCAM are illustrated in Table 3. While the posttest mean score of the experimental group was 317.23, the posttest mean score of the control group was 254.40. Posttest scores for the children participating in the Creative Movement Program (experimental group) increased. Comparing the posttest scores of the Creative Movement Program group and the control group, it appeared that the experimental group posttest scores were higher than those of the control group.

Table 3

Means and Standard Deviations on the TCAM (Motor Creativity)

Group	Pretest	Adjusted	Pretest SD	Adjusted	N
	Mean	Posttest Mean		Posttest SD	
Experimental	230.23	322.00	22.52	48.12	30
Control	237.77	254.40	27.90	40.03	30
Total	234.00	288.20	25.21	44.08	60

The results of ANCOVA posttest measures are presented in Table 4. After adjusting for pretest values, there was a significant difference between the experimental and control groups, $F(1, 57) = 42.99, p = .000$. This indicated that the experimental group's result on motor creativity was higher and differed significantly from those of the control group. As there was a significant difference in motor creativity between children participating in the Creative Movement Program and those in the control group, null hypothesis was rejected.

Table 4

Results of Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) for the TCAM

Source	SS	df	MS	F	p
Motor creativity					
Between	73010.83	1	73010.83	42.99	.000*
Within	96810.36	57	1698.43		
Total	182165.60	59			

Note. $n = 30$ for the experimental group and 30 for the control group.

*Revealed a significant difference found between the experimental and control groups at the .05 level.

Discussion/Recommendation

According to Hinitz (1980), creative movement is the guided exploration of movement concepts, designed to increase children's awareness and understanding of their own range of movement and that of others. It is offered as a movement experience in which the child is the center, and creative involvement and challenge are part of each experience. Additional research suggests that motor creativity can be influenced by early experiences in dance and movement education (Hanson, 1992; Lubin, 1978; Sherrill, 1986). The findings of the study indicated that the experimental group, whose ages ranged from 36 months old to 71 months old, did produce significantly greater performances than the control group. In this light, it is important to find suitable programs for the early development of preschool children. The results


of this study provide professionals in early childhood education, early intervention service providers (e.g. health professionals, therapists), program administrators (e.g. school, community recreation), and parents with research to support a quality Creative Movement Program. Through this research, an understanding was developed of what creative movement is about in the preschool setting, sensed the times and places in which creative movement can be a natural extension of daily classroom activities, and developed increasing skill in planning and leading meaningful creative movement experiences. For the overall creative movement experience to be worthwhile, there must be cohesiveness between planning, activity, and evaluation. Educators will then have an idea of the nature of motor creativity each child possesses. Setting up developmentally appropriate activities is possible once one has this important information about the children. Based on the findings of the study, the following recommendations for practice are made.

1. This study demonstrated that the Creative Movement Program is essential to the development of the total child; a position that the related literature supported. It is recommended that there should be regular and frequent use of these programs at the preschool level.
2. In order to achieve an effective Creative Movement Program a longer period of time should be used. The longer time span would allow the time necessary for the growth and development of an individual.
3. In order to take advantage of an established teacher and child relationship, it is recommended that such a program be taught by the classroom teacher; the direct effect on the children of such a program would become more apparent.
4. Training the teachers to teach a Creative Movement Program would expand the opportunity for children to participate in this movement program. In order to ensure a chance for every child to participate in a Creative Movement Program, it is recommended that all preschool teachers have some experience with such programs as part of their professional training. This training could be obtained either through a college course or through an in-service training program.
5. A greater effort must be made to develop guidelines for teaching the Creative Movement Program whereby teachers and preschool directors can more positively identify motor creativity in children so that they can develop their potential.

References

- Andress, B. (1991). Research in review, from research to practice: Preschool children and their movement responses to music. *Young Children*, 51(6), 22-27.
- Clements, R. L. (1995). *My neighborhood movement challenges: Narratives, games*

- and stunts for ages three through eight years.* Reston, VA: American Alliance for Health, Physical Education, Recreation and Dance.
- Gilbert, A. G. (1992). *Creative dance for all ages: A conceptual approach.* CS: American Alliance for Health, Physical Education, Recreation and Dance, Reston, VA. National Dance Association.
- Hanson, M. (1992). Developing the motor creativity of elementary school physical education students. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Georgia, 1992). *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 54, 02A, 457.
- Hinitz, B. F. (1980). *History of dance in early childhood education, 1920-1970.* Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the National Association for the Education of Young Children (San Francisco, CA, November 24, 1980).
- Liselott, D. (1991). *The important early years: Intelligence through movement experiences.* Reston, VA: American Alliance for Health, Physical Education, Recreation and Dance.
- Lubin, E. N. (1978). Motor creativity of preschool deaf children. (Doctoral dissertation, Texas Woman's University, 1978). *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 40, 01A, 154.
- Pica, R. (1990a). *Preschoolers moving & learning.* Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Pica, R. (1997). Beyond physical development: Why young children need to move. *Young Children*, 52(6), 4-11.
- Pica, R. (2000). *Experiences in movement: With music, activities, and theory* (2nd ed.). Albany, NY: Delmar.
- Portney, L. C. & Watkins, M. P. (2000). *Foundations of clinical research applications to practice* (2nd ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Sherrill, C. (1986). Fostering creativity in handicapped children. *Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly*, 3, 236-249.
- Taylor, C. (1975). *Rhythm: A guild for creative movement.* Palo Alto, CA: Peek Publications.
- Torrance, E. P. (1981). *Thinking creatively in action and movement.* Bensenville, IL: Scholastic Testing Services, Inc.



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: The effects of a creative movement program on motor creativity of children ages three to five

Author(s): Joanne Hui-Tzu Wang

Corporate Source:

AAHPERD

Publication Date:

April 4, 2003

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

Level 1



Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

Level 2A



Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 2B



Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, please

Signature:	Printed Name/Position/Title: Hui Tzu Joanne Wang		
Organization/Address: 95, Pei-tun Road, University of South Dakota, Taichung City, Taiwan, ROC 406	Telephone: 011-886-4-2238-3412	FAX: 011-886-4-2238-3412	Date: July 9, 2003
E-Mail Address: joanne.wang@yahoo.com.tw			

(over)



June 17, 2003

Dear Presenter:

The ERIC Clearinghouse on Teaching and Teacher Education invites you to contribute to the ERIC database by providing us with a copy of your paper presented at AAHPERD's 2003 National Convention & Exposition (April 1-5, 2003, Philadelphia, PA). Abstracts of documents that are accepted by ERIC are available through computers in both on-line and CD-ROM versions. The ERIC database is accessed worldwide and is used by teachers, administrators, researchers, students, policymakers, and others with an interest in education.


Inclusion of your work provides you with a permanent archive and contributes to the overall development of materials in ERIC. The full text of your contribution will be accessible that are housed at libraries throughout the country and through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service. Documents are reviewed and accepted based on their contribution to education, timeliness, relevance, methodology, effectiveness of presentation, and reproduction quality.

To disseminate your work through ERIC, you need to fill out and sign the **Reproduction Release Form** on the back of this letter and include it with a letter-quality copy of your paper. You can mail the materials to: **The ERIC Clearinghouse on Teaching and Teacher Education, 1307 New York Ave., N.W., Suite 300, Washington, D.C. 20005.** Please feel free to photocopy the release form for future or additional submissions.

Should you have further questions, please contact me at 1-800-822-9229; or E-mail: lkelly@aacte.org.

Sincerely,

Linda M. Kelly
Acquisitions and Outreach Coordinator



III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:

Address:

Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:

Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
4483-A Forbes Boulevard
Lanham, Maryland 20706

Telephone: 301-552-4200
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-552-4700
e-mail: info@ericfac.piccard.csc.com
WWW: <http://ericfacility.org>